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Any faces contained in the image?
Who are they?
Overview

• Face recognition problem
  – Given a still image or video of a scene, identify or verify one or more persons in this scene using a stored database of facial images
Overview

• Face identification

Who is this person?

He is David.
Overview

• Face verification

Is he who he claims to be?

I am David.

Yes, he is.
Overview

• Applications of face detection & recognition
Overview

• Applications of face detection & recognition

Hong Kong—Luohu, border control

E-channel
Overview

• Applications of face detection & recognition

National Stadium, Beijing Olympic Games, 2008
Overview

• Applications of face detection&recognition

Check on work attendance
Overview

- Applications of face detection & recognition

Smile detection: embedded in most modern cameras
Overview

• Why is face recognition so difficult?
  • Intra-class variance and inter-class similarity

Images of the same person
Overview

• Why is face recognition so difficult?
  • Intra-class variance and inter-class similarity

Images of twins
Overview

Who are they?
Overview-General Architecture

I am MB.

Face authentication
Is it MB?

Yes, she is

Face recognition
Who is it?

It is MB

User enrolment

Image recording

Training set

Face normalization
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Face database
(stored facial signatures)
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Introduction

• Identify and locate human faces in an image regardless of their
  • Position
  • Scale
  • Orientation
  • pose (out-of-plane rotation)
  • illumination
Where are the faces, if any?
Introduction

- Why face detection is so difficult?
Introduction

• Appearance based methods
  • Train a classifier using positive (and usually negative) examples of faces
  • Representation: different appearance based methods may use different representation schemes
  • Most of the state-of-the-art methods belong to this category

The most successful one: Viola-Jones method!

VJ is based on AdaBoost classifier
AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting)

- It is a machine learning algorithm\(^1\)
- AdaBoost is adaptive in the sense that subsequent classifiers built are tweaked in favor of those instances misclassified by previous classifiers
- The classifiers it uses can be weak, but as long as their performance is slightly better than random they will improve the final model

\(^1\) Y. Freund and R.E. Schapire, "A Decision-Theoretic Generalization of on-Line Learning and an Application to Boosting", Journal of Computer and System Sciences, 1995
AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting)

- AdaBoost is an algorithm for constructing a "strong" classifier as a linear combination of simple weak classifiers,

\[ H(x) = \text{sgn}\left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(x) \right) \in \{-1, +1\} \]

where \( h_t(x) = \text{sgn}\left( f_t(x) \right) \in \{-1, +1\} \)

- Terminology
  - \( h_t(x) \) is a weak or basis classifier and \( f_t(x) \) is the associated classification function
  - \( H(x) \) is the final strong classifier and its associated classification function is \( f(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(x) \)
AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting)

- AdaBoost is an iterative training algorithm, the stopping criterion depends on concrete applications.
- For each iteration $t$
  - A new weak classifier $h_t(x)$ is added based on the current training set.
  - Modify the weight for each training sample; the weight for the sample being correctly classified by $h_t(x)$ will be reduced, while the sample being misclassified by $h_t(x)$ will be increased.
AdaBoost (algorithm for binary classification)

Given:
- Training set \((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \ldots, (x_m, y_m)\), where \(y_i \in \{-1, +1\}\)

Initialize weights for samples \(D_1(i) = 1 / m\)

For \(t = 1:T\)
- Train weak classifiers based on training set and the \(D_t\)
- Find the best weak classifier \(h_t\) with error \(\varepsilon_t = \sum_{i=1}^{m} D_t(i)[h_t(x_i) \neq y_i]\)
- If \(\varepsilon_t \geq 0.5\), stop;
- Set \(\alpha_t = 0.5 \ln \left(\frac{(1 - \varepsilon_t)}{\varepsilon_t}\right)\)
- Update weights for samples \(D_{t+1}(i) = \frac{D_t(i) \exp(-\alpha_t y_i h_t(x_i))}{\text{Denom}}\)

Outputs the final classifier,

\[
H(x) = \text{sgn}\left( \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha_t h_t(x) \right)
\]
AdaBoost—An Example

- 10 training samples
- Weak classifiers: vertical or horizontal lines
- Initial weights for samples $D_1(i) = 0.1, i = 1 \sim 10$
- Three iterations
AdaBoost—An Example

After iteration one

Get the weak classifier \( h_1(x) \)

\[ \varepsilon_1 = 0.3 \]

\[ \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1} = 0.4236 \]

update weights
AdaBoost—An Example

After iteration 2

Get the weak classifier $h_2(x)$

$\varepsilon_2 = 0.2142$

$\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2} = 0.6499$

update weights
AdaBoost—An Example

After iteration 3
Get the weak classifier $h_3(x)$

\[
\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1 - \varepsilon_3}{\varepsilon_3} = 0.9236
\]

Now try to classify the 10 samples using $H(x)$
Viola-Jones face detection

• VJ face detector\[1\]

  • Haar-like features are proposed and computed based on integral image; they act as “weak” classifiers
  • Strong classifiers are composed of “weak” classifiers by using AdaBoost
  • Many strong classifiers are combined in a cascade structure which dramatically increases the detection speed

Haar features

- Compute the difference between the sums of pixels within two (or more) rectangular regions

Example Haar features shown relative to the enclosing face detection window
Haar features

• Integral image
  • The integral image at location \((x, y)\) contains the sum of all the pixels above and to the left of \(x, y\), inclusive:

  \[
  ii(x, y) = \sum_{x' \leq x, y' \leq y} i(x', y')
  \]

  where \(i(x, y)\) is the original image

  • By the following recurrence, the integral image can be computed in one pass over the original image

    \[
    s(x, y) = s(x, y - 1) + i(x, y)
    \]

    \[
    ii(x, y) = ii(x - 1, y) + s(x, y)
    \]

  where \(s(x, y)\) is the cumulative row sum, \(s(x, -1) = 0\), and \(ii(-1, y) = 0\)
Haar features

• Haar feature can be efficiently computed by using integral image

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{original image } i(x, y) & \\
\text{integral image } ii(x, y) & \\
\end{align*}
\]

Actually,

\[
\begin{align*}
\ii(x_1) &= A \\
\ii(x_2) &= A + B \\
\ii(x_3) &= A + C \\
\ii(x_4) &= A + B + C + D \\
D &= \ii(x_4) + \ii(x_1) - \ii(x_2) - \ii(x_3)
\end{align*}
\]
Haar features

- Haar feature can be efficiently computed by using integral image

How to calculate $A-B$ in integral image?
Haar features

- Given a detection window, tens of thousands of Haar features can be computed.
- One Haar feature is a weak classifier to decide whether the underlying detection window contains a face.

\[
h(x, f, p, t) = \begin{cases} 
1, & pf(x) < p\theta \\
-1, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

where \(x\) is the detection window, \(f\) defines how to compute the Haar feature on window \(x\), \(p\) is 1 or -1 to make the inequalities have a unified direction, \(\theta\) is a threshold.

- \(f\) can be determined in advance; by contrast, \(p\) and \(\theta\) are determined by training, such that the minimum number of examples are misclassified.
The first and second best Haar features. The first feature measures the difference in intensity between the region of the eyes and a region across the upper cheeks. The feature capitalizes on the observation that the eye region is often darker than the cheeks. The second feature compares the intensities in the eye regions to the intensity across the bridge of the nose.
From weak learner to stronger learner

• Any single Haar feature (thresholded single feature) is quite weak on deciding whether the underlying detection window contains face or not

• Many Haar features (weak learners) can be combined into a strong learner by using Adaboost

• However, the most straightforward technique for improving detection performance, adding more features to the classifier, directly increases computation cost

Construct a cascade classifier
Cascade classifier

• Motivations
  • Within an image, most sub-images are non-face instances
  • Use smaller and efficient classifiers to reject many negative examples at early stage while detecting almost all the positive instances
  • Simpler classifiers are used to reject the majority of sub-windows; more complex classifiers are used at later stage to examine difficult cases
• Our aim: rejection cascade

The initial classifier eliminates a large number of negative examples with very little processing. Subsequent layers eliminate additional negatives but require additional computation. After several stages, the number of remained detection windows has been reduced radically.
Cascade classifier

• Terminologies

  • Detection rate:
    
    \[
    \frac{\text{true positives}}{\text{true positives} + \text{false negatives}} \quad \text{(real faces detected)}
    \]

  • False positive rate (FPR),
    
    \[
    \frac{\text{false positives}}{\text{false positives} + \text{true negatives}} \quad \text{(false faces detected)}
    \]
Cascade classifier

Given a trained cascade of classifiers, the FPR of the cascade is,

\[ F = \prod_{i=1}^{K} f_i \]

where \( K \) is the number of stages, and \( f_i \) is the FPR of the \( i \)th stage on the samples that get through to it.

The detection rate of the cascade is,

\[ D = \prod_{i=1}^{K} d_i \]

where \( d_i \) is the detection rate of the \( i \)th stage on the samples that get through to it.
Data used for training

- A large number of normalized face samples
  - Having the same size
- A large number of non-face samples
Training Strategy

• VJ cascaded face detector training strategy
  • User sets the maximum acceptable false positive rate and the minimum acceptable detection rate for each layer
  • Each layer of cascade is trained by AdaBoost with the number of features used being increased until the target detection and false positive rates are met for this level
  • The detection rate and FPR are determined by testing the current cascade detector on a validation set
  • If the overall target FPR is not met then another layer is added to the cascade
  • The negative set for training subsequent layers is obtained by collecting all false detections found by running the current cascade on a set of images containing no face instances
- User selects values for $f$, the maximum acceptable false positive rate per layer and $d$, the minimum acceptable detection rate per layer.
- User selects target overall false positive rate, $F_{\text{target}}$.
- $P =$ set of positive examples
- $N =$ set of negative examples
- $F_0 = 1.0; D_0 = 1.0$
- $i = 0$
- while $F_i > F_{\text{target}}$
  - $i \leftarrow i + 1$
  - $n_i = 0; F_i = F_{i-1}$
  - while $F_i > f \times F_{i-1}$
    - $n_i \leftarrow n_i + 1$
    - Use $P$ and $N$ to train a classifier with $n_i$ features using AdaBoost
    - Evaluate current cascaded classifier on validation set to determine $F_i$ and $D_i$.
    - Decrease threshold for the $i$th classifier until the current cascaded classifier has a detection rate of at least $d \times D_{i-1}$ (this also affects $F_i$)
- $N \leftarrow \emptyset$
- If $F_i > F_{\text{target}}$ then evaluate the current cascaded detector on the set of non-face images and put any false detections into the set $N$
Viola-Jones face detection

• Implementation
  • VJ face detector has been implemented in OpenCV and Matlab
  • OpenCV has also provided the training result from a frontal face dataset and the result is contained in “haarcascade_frontalface_alt2.xml”
  • A demo program has been provided on our course website: FaceDetectionEx
Viola-Jones face detection

- Demo time: some examples

original image  VJ face detection result
Viola-Jones face detection

• Demo time: some examples
Viola-Jones face detection

• Summary
  • Three main components
    • Integral image: efficient convolution
    • Use Adaboost for feature selection
    • Use Adaboost to learn the cascade classifier

• Properties
  • Fast and fairly robust; runs in real time
  • Very time consuming in training stage (may take days in training)
  • Requires lots of engineering work